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Decision 3.3.7: ... The JSC strongly encouraged a WWRP project be developed in association with the Sochi 2014 Games. ...

Action: As the project develops the project leaders are encouraged to work with the THORPEX Data Assimilation Working Group and that EUMETNET and EUMETSAT organizations are asked to play a role in this effort.

The kick-off meeting to be held in Sochi, 1-3 March 2011.
Sochi-2014 Winter Olympics

Dates: Feb 8-23.
Place: 44°N, 40°E. On the Black sea coast.
Sochi-2014 Winter Olympics

The mountain cluster: 50 km on-shore, 2 km above the sea level
Data assimilation: strategy

COSMO model will be used for both data assimilation and forecast.

For the COSMO model: currently nudging, LETKF being developed.

In Russia: 3D-VAR to be extended from the global analysis (using stretched geometry).

Ensemble flow-dependent covariances will be used within 3D-Var.

Resolution: 1km planned.
Global 3D-VAR on the basis of 3-D filters

Spatial ARMA (SARMA)
In the most general terms:
\[ S \xi = V \alpha \]

Auto-regression in the vertical:
\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_0 &= U_0 \alpha_0 \\
\xi_k &= \sum_{j=k-q}^{k-1} F_{kj} \xi_j + U_k \alpha_k
\end{align*}
\]

\[ B = WW^T, \quad W = S^{-1}V \]

The 3D-VAR is designed to work with flow-dependent covariances.
Global observations: in use, tbd in 2010-1012

- **In-situ observations**
- **Satellite observations**
  1. Winds (AMV): Geostationary, Polar winds
  2. Radiances: AMSU-A, AMSU-B, IASI
  3. Radio-occultation: COSMIC, GRAS, GRACE
  4. Scatterometers: ASCAT
  5. Others: Snow (VIIRS?), cloud fields (SSMIS?), soil moisture (SMOS?)
    ...?

- **Radars**
Local observations

Additional in-situ: little of them (a few mobile radiosonde systems and several tens of automatic ground stations and buoys).

Radars: new Russian radars are expected to be deployed closer to the event, so we are not sure about them. Two Vaisala radars near Sochi.

Wind profilers: a couple of them near Sochi.
Challenges

(1) Can we succeed with a 1-km data assimilation having, virtually, only satellite data?

(2) For high-resolution analysis we need high-resolution satellite data. So, thinning satellite data is not appropriate. But without thinning, satellite error correlations become important and are to be accounted for in data assimilation:
Satellite error correlations

AMSU-A observations were compared with radiosondes; significant error correlations were found (horizontal, temporal, inter-channel, and cross-correlations with forecast errors) (Gorin and Tsyrlnikov 2010, MWR, under review).

Horizontal auto-covariances:
Challenges

- (3) Are radars critically needed or satellite observations can be used instead?
- (4) How critical is the addition of an ensemble assimilation component?
- (5) How to properly *modulate* the 3D-Var covariances using the ensemble statistics?
Issues

- Should the meso-scale data assimilation scheme assimilate only meso scales or all scales?
- Which satellite data should be added to the list?
- How frequent should be the analysis updates?
Conclusions

- We have: the Global 3D-Var and global satellite and in-situ data.
- TBD:
  1. A meso 3D-Var on the basis of the global scheme
  2. 3D-Var with obs-err correlations
  3. An ensemble assimilation system based on the 3D-Var with ensemble flow-dependent background-error covariances